

Tackling multiplicity of equilibria with Gröbner bases

Felix Kubler¹ (joint with Karl Schmedders²)

¹Department of Economics, University of Pennsylvania

²MEDS, Northwestern University

ICE - August 9th 2007

Motivation

- Multiplicity of competitive equilibria is often bad news
- When equilibrium can be characterized by system of polynomial equations, can find all solutions numerically. Gröbner bases one possible method

Motivation

- Multiplicity of competitive equilibria is often bad news
- When equilibrium can be characterized by system of polynomial equations, can find all solutions numerically. Gröbner bases one possible method
- Often one wants to make statements about class of models

Motivation

- Multiplicity of competitive equilibria is often bad news
- When equilibrium can be characterized by system of polynomial equations, can find all solutions numerically. Gröbner bases one possible method
- Often one wants to make statements about class of models
 - How can one detect non-uniqueness?
 - Can one give good bounds on the maximal number of equilibria?
 - Can one estimate size of set of parameters for which there is uniqueness?

Polynomials

- Monomial in x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n : $x^\alpha \equiv x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$.
Exponents $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$
- Polynomial f in the n variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n is a linear combination of finitely many monomials with coefficients in a field \mathbb{K}

$$f(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in S} a_\alpha x^\alpha, \quad a_\alpha \in \mathbb{K}, \quad S \subset \mathbb{Z}_+^N \text{ finite}$$

Examples of \mathbb{K} : $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$

Write $f \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

Polynomial Equation Solving

- Objective: find all (positive) real solutions to $f(x) = 0$
- Study of polynomial equations on algebraically closed fields
Field \mathbb{R} is not algebraically closed, so interpret $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$
- Define solution set

$$V(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n) = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n : f_1(x) = f_2(x) = \dots = f_n(x) = 0\}$$

- Polynomial ideal is

$$I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n h_i f_i : h_i \in \mathbb{K}[x] \right\}$$

f_1, \dots, f_n is one basis of I

Polynomial Equation Solving (cont.)

- Obviously a given ideal can have different bases, i.e. for polynomials g_1, \dots, g_k and f_1, \dots, f_n

$$\langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle = \langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle$$

- One basis with nice properties is the so called *lexicographic Gröbner basis*
- Why do we care ?

Polynomial Equation Solving (cont.)

- Obviously a given ideal can have different bases, i.e. for polynomials g_1, \dots, g_k and f_1, \dots, f_n

$$\langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle = \langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle$$

- One basis with nice properties is the so called *lexicographic Gröbner basis*
- Why do we care ?

If

$$\langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle = \langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle$$

then

$$V(f_1, \dots, f_n) = V(g_1, \dots, g_k)$$

- To solve a system of equations, find another basis which has nice properties, e.g. is triangular

Shape Lemma and Gröbner basis

- Let $I = \langle f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \rangle$ be a radical zero dimensional polynomial ideal. Let G denote the (lexicographic) Gröbner basis of I . Suppose all roots have distinct value for last coordinate x_n
- THEN

$$G = \{x_1 - v_1(x_n), x_2 - v_2(x_n), \dots, x_{n-1} - v_{n-1}(x_n), r(x_n)\}$$

Polynomial r has degree d , polynomials v_i have degrees less than d

Buchberger's Algorithm

- **Buchberger's algorithm** allows calculation of Gröbner bases
- If all coefficients of f_1, \dots, f_n are rational then the polynomials $r, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}$ have rational coefficients and can be computed exactly!
- Solving $f_1(x) = f_2(x) = \dots = f_n(x) = 0$ reduces to solving $r(x_n) = 0$
- Software SINGULAR computes Gröbner basis and numerically approximates all solutions
Available free of charge at www.singular.uni-kl.de

Shape lemma in SINGULAR

Consider the following simple example:

$$x - yz^3 - 2z^3 + 1 = -x + yz - 3z + 4 = x + yz^9 = 0$$

ring $R = 0, (x, y, z), lp;$

ideal $I = ($

$x - y * z * *3 - 2 * z * *3 + 1,$

$-x + y * z - 3 * z + 4,$

$x + y * z * *9);$

groebner(I);

Shape lemma in SINGULAR

Consider the following simple example:

$$x - yz^3 - 2z^3 + 1 = -x + yz - 3z + 4 = x + yz^9 = 0$$

ring $R = 0, (x, y, z), lp;$

ideal $I = ($

$x - y * z ** 3 - 2 * z ** 3 + 1,$

$-x + y * z - 3 * z + 4,$

$x + y * z ** 9);$

groebner(I);

[1] = $2z^{11} + 3z^9 - 5z^8 + 5z^3 - 4z^2 - 1$

[2] = $2y + 18z^{10} + 25z^8 - 45z^7 - 5z^6 + 5z^5 - 5z^4 + 5z^3 + 40z^2 - 31z - 6$

[3] = $2x - 2z^9 - 5z^7 + 5z^6 - 5z^5 + 5z^4 - 5z^3 + 5z^2 + 1$

Parameter Shape Lemma - Assumptions

What if last equation reads $ex + yz^9 = 0$ with e being a parameter?

Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, be an open set of parameters, $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ a set of variables and let $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathbb{K}[e_1, \dots, e_m; x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $\mathbb{K} \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Assume that for each $\bar{e} = (\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_m) \in E$, the ideal

$I(\bar{e}) = \langle f_1(\bar{e}; \cdot), \dots, f_n(\bar{e}; \cdot) \rangle$ is zero-dimensional and radical.

Furthermore, assume that there exist $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathbb{K}$, such that for all \bar{e} any solutions $\bar{x} \neq \bar{x}'$ satisfying

$$f_1(\bar{e}; \bar{x}) = \dots = f_n(\bar{e}; \bar{x}) = 0 = f_1(\bar{e}; \bar{x}') = \dots = f_n(\bar{e}; \bar{x}')$$

also satisfy $\sum_i u_i \bar{x}_i \neq \sum_i u_i \bar{x}'_i$.

Parameter Shape Lemma - Result

There exist $r, v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{K}[e; y]$ and $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n \in \mathbb{K}[e]$ such that for $y = \sum_i u_i x_i$ and for all \bar{e} outside a closed lower-dimensional subset E_0 of E ,

$$\{x \in \mathbb{C}^n : f_1(\bar{e}, x) = \dots = f_n(\bar{e}, x) = 0\}$$

$$\{x \in \mathbb{C}^n : \rho_1(\bar{e})x_1 = v_1(\bar{e}; y), \dots, \rho_n(\bar{e})x_n = v_n(\bar{e}; y) \text{ for } r(\bar{e}; y) = 0\}.$$

Shape lemma with parameters in SINGULAR

```

ring R= (0, e), (x, y, z), lp;
ideal I = (
x - y * z **3 - 2 * z **3 + 1,
-x + y * z - 3 * z + 4,
e * x + y * z **9);
groebner(I);

```

Shape lemma with parameters in SINGULAR

```
ring R= (0, e), (x, y, z), lp;
```

```
ideal I = (
```

```
x - y * z * *3 - 2 * z * *3 + 1,
```

```
-x + y * z - 3 * z + 4,
```

```
e * x + y * z * *9);
```

```
groebner(I);
```

```
[1] = 2 * z11 + 3 * z9 - 5 * z8 + (5e) * z3 + (-4e) * z2 + (-e)
```

```
[2] = (-e2 - e) * y + (-8e - 10) * z10 + (-10e - 15) * z8 +
(20e + 25) * z7 + (5e) * z6 + (-5e) * z5 + (5e) * z4 + (-5e) *
z3 + (-20e2 - 20e) * z2 + (16e2 + 15e) * z + (3e2 + 3e)
```

```
[3] = (-e-1)*x+2*z9+5*z7-5*z6+5*z5-5*z4+5*z3-5*z2-1
```


Bounding the number of positive real solutions

- Given a Gröbner basis

$$\{\rho_1(\bar{e})x_1 - v_1(\bar{e}; y), \dots, \rho_n(\bar{e})x_n - v_n(\bar{e}; y), r(\bar{e}; y)\}$$

number of real positive solutions of $r(\bar{e}; y) = 0$ bounds
number of positive solutions for f_1, \dots, f_n

- Descartes' bound and Sturm's algorithm
 - Univariate polynomial $r(x_n) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i x_n^i$ of degree d
 - Descartes's Rule of Signs
 - The number of positive real roots of a polynomial is at most the number of sign changes in its coefficient sequence
 - Sturm's algorithm gives exact number of real zeros in a given interval

Detecting multiplicity

- If along a path in parameter-space number of solutions increases, there must be a critical point
- Search for critical points by solving $r(\bar{e}; y) = 0$ together with $\partial_y r(\bar{e}; y) = 0$
- Easy for case of one parameter

Finding one point in each connected component

Let $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a variety of dimension d with $I(V) = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle$, $f_i \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ for all $i = 1, \dots, s$. Given a point $a \in \mathbb{Q}^n$, $a \notin V$, let

$$\mathcal{C}(V, a) = \left\{ x \in V : \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x f(x) \\ a - x \end{pmatrix} \leq n - d \right\}.$$

The set $\mathcal{C}(V, a)$ meets every semi-algebraically connected component of $V \cap \mathbb{R}^n$, moreover, for generic $a \in \mathbb{Q}^n$, the dimension of $\mathcal{C}(V, a)$ is smaller than d .

Approximate volume of semi-algebraic sets

- Suppose the set of exogenous parameters is $[0, 1]^l$. Let $\Phi \subset [0, 1]^l$ be the set of parameters for which there exists a unique Walrasian equilibrium.
- Let G be a grid of points in $[0, 1]^l$, $G = \{1/n, \dots, 1\}^l$. For $x \in [0, 1]^l$, let $\mathfrak{S}(x) = 1$ if there is a unique equilibrium for the parametrization and zero otherwise.
- We want to estimate the volume of Φ which is given by $\int_{[0,1]^l} \mathfrak{S}(x) dx$.

Approximate volume of semi-algebraic sets (cont.)

- Suppose it is known that the fraction of points in G for which there are multiple equilibria is not larger than some $\gamma \in (0, 1)$.
- Let λ denote a bound on the maximal number of connected components of Φ intersected with any axes-parallel line. Then Koiran (1995) shows that

$$\left| \int_{[0,1]^n} \mathfrak{S}(x) dx - \gamma \right| < \frac{1}{n} \lambda$$

CES economies

- Simple Arrow-Debreu exchange economy with H agents and L goods
- Suppose that each agent has CES utility, with marginal utility being of the form

$$u^h(c) = \sum_{l=1}^L v_{hl}(c)$$

with

$$v'_{hl}(c) = (\alpha_l^h)^{-\sigma_h} (c_l)^{-\sigma_h}$$

Equilibrium equations for CES economies

- Normalize $p_1 = 1$ and eliminate all Lagrange multipliers, $\lambda^h = 1/(\alpha_{h1}c_1^h)^{N/M^h}$.
- Defining $q_l = p_l^{1/N}$, $l = 2, \dots, L$, we obtain as P.E.E.

$$\alpha_{h1}c_1^h - \alpha_{hl}c_l^h q_l^{M^h} = 0, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}, l = 2, \dots, L,$$

$$c_1^h - e_1^h + \sum_{l=2}^L q_l^N (c_l^h - e_l^h) = 0, \quad h = 1, \dots, H,$$

$$\sum_{h=1}^H c_l^h - e_l^h = 0, \quad l = 1, \dots, L-1.$$

Maximal number of equilibria

Suppose $H = L = 2$ and $\sigma^h = \sigma \in \mathbb{N}$. All equilibrium q 's must satisfy

$$(\alpha^1 e_2^2 + \alpha^2 e_2^1 - \alpha^1 \alpha^2 (e_2^1 + e_2^2)) q^\sigma - \alpha^1 \alpha^2 (e_1^1 + e_1^2) q^{\sigma-1} + (1 - \alpha^1)(1 - \alpha^2)(e_2^1 + e_2^2) q + (\alpha^1 \alpha^2 (e_1^1 + e_1^2) - \alpha^1 e_1^1 - \alpha^2 e_1^2) = 0$$

Detecting multiple equilibria

- Suppose $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 3$, $\alpha_1 = 1/5$, $\alpha_2 = 4/5$ and $e_2^1 = e_1^2 = 1$, $e_1^1 = e_2^2 = f$
- With these parameters the univariate representation above becomes

$$r(q) = (f + 16)q^3 - (4f + 4)q^2 + (4f + 4)q - f - 16$$

- Critical points must satisfy

$$(f + 16)q^3 - (4f + 4)q^2 + (4f + 4)q - f - 16 = 0$$

$$3(f + 16)q^2 - 2(4f + 4)q + (4f + 4) = 0$$

- If $f > 44$ the economy has three equilibria

How likely is multiplicity for $H = L = 2$

- Estimate size of set of individual endowments and α for which equilibria are unique
- For simplicity take $\alpha^1, \alpha^2 \in [0, 1]^2$ and $(e_1^1, e_2^1, e_1^2, e_2^2) \in [0, 1]^4$
- To get fraction of economies with unique equilibrium, use probabilistic approach...

Estimates for γ

- Suppose the $(X_i)_{i=1}^m$ are Bernoulli random variables with success probability $p \in (0, 1)$ and \hat{p} denotes the empirical frequency of success.
- Denoting $S = n\hat{p}$, Hoeffding' inequality can be written as

$$P(p - \hat{p} > t) \leq \exp(-2mt^2).$$

- In the experiments below, we use 200000 draws and want to bound γ from below with precision $t = 0.005$. Hence we obtain that

$$P(\gamma - \hat{\gamma} > 0.005) \leq e^{-10}.$$

Number of connected components

- Along axes parallel lines zones of multiplicity must be separated by critical economies
- Can use Gröbner bases to find bounds on number of critical economies
- Take for example identical $\sigma = 9$, want to see how many q, e_1^1 solve

$$\begin{aligned} & (\alpha^1 e_2^2 + \alpha^2 e_2^1 - \alpha^1 \alpha^2 (e_2^1 + e_2^2)) q^9 - \alpha^1 \alpha^2 (e_1^1 + e_1^2) q^8 + \\ & (1 - \alpha^1)(1 - \alpha^2)(e_2^1 + e_2^2) q + (\alpha^1 \alpha^2 (e_1^1 + e_1^2) - \alpha^1 e_1^1 - \alpha^2 e_1^2) = 0 \\ & 9 (\alpha^1 e_2^2 + \alpha^2 e_2^1 - \alpha^1 \alpha^2 (e_2^1 + e_2^2)) q^8 - 8 \alpha^1 \alpha^2 (e_1^1 + e_1^2) q^7 + \\ & (1 - \alpha^1)(1 - \alpha^2)(e_2^1 + e_2^2) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

- All q must satisfy $K_1 q^{16} + K_2 q^8 + K_3 q^7 + K_3 = 0$

Some results

- Take identical σ
- Results:

σ	λ	$\hat{\gamma}$	VOL
3	2	0	0.001
5	2	13/100000	0.006
7	2	36/100000	0.006
9	2	71/100000	0.006
25	2	338/100000	0.009
51	2	629/100000	0.01

Table: Fraction of Economies with Multiple Equilibria

Conclusion

- Several methods to compute all complex solutions to polynomial equations
- Gröbner bases provide one (not extremely efficient?) way
- Advantages of Gröbner bases:
 - Bounding maximal number of real solutions over set of parameters
 - Detecting non-uniqueness
 - Estimating probability of hitting multiplicity

References

- Kubler and Schmedders (2007): Competitive Equilibria in Semi-algebraic economies
- Kubler and Schmedders (2007): Tackling...
- B. Sturmfels (2002): Solving Systems of Polynomial Equations
- Cox et al. (200x): Ideals, Varieties and Algorithms: An Introduction to Computational Algebraic Geometry, Springer Verlag.